SUPREME COURT, U. S.

MAR 9 19

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1969

No. 1182

MORTON K. OHLSON, et al.,
Appellants,

vs.

KENNETH PHILLIPS, et al.,
Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

MOTION TO AFFIRM OR DISMISS

DUKE W. DUNBAR
Attorney General
State of Colorado
104 State Capitol
Denver, Colorado 80203
MICHAEL T. HALEY
Assistant Attorney General
930 South Clarkson Street
Denver, Colorado 80209
JOHN P. HOLLOWAY
Assistant Attorney General
Resident Legal Counsel
University of Colorado
Regent Hall, Box 13
Boulder, Colorado 80302
JOHN E. BUSH
Assistant Attorney General
Resident Legal Counsel
Colorado State University
110 Administration Building
Fort Collins, Colorado 80521
VICTOR QUINN
HENRY, COCKRELL, QUINN AND CREIGHTON
1415 Security Life Building
Denver, Colorado 80202
Attorneys for Appellees

INDEX

Argument

1. The issue of substantive due process and freedom of speech raised in questions 1 and 2 respectively are not so substantial as to require further argument 2

2. The issue of procedural due process raised in question 1 is not so substantial as to require further argument 2

3. The factual basis of question 3 is inadequate to support further argument 2

4. The factual basis of question 4 is inadequate to support further argument 3

Conclusion 5

Certificate of Service 6

Table of Cases

Adler v. Board of Education of City of New York, 342 U.S. 485, 72 S.Ct. 380 (1952) 2, 3

Cafeteria and Rest. Workers Local 473 v. McElroy, 367 U.S. 886, 81 L.Ed.2d 1230, 81 S.Ct. 1743 (1961) 4

Gallagher v. Smith, 270 F.Supp. 30 (D.C. Colo. 1967) 4

Hyland v. University of Missouri, 80 (13 Wall.) U.S. 526, 22 L.Ed. 160 (1873) 4

Howard v. Smith, 276 F.Supp. 876 (1967), Aff'd (per curiam) 390 U.S. 744, 88 S.Ct. 1432 (1968) 2, 3

Knight v. Board of Regents, 269 F.Supp. 339 (1967), Aff'd (per curiam) 390 U.S. 36, 19 L.Ed.2d 812, (1968) 2, 3

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1969

No.

MORTON K. OHLSON, et al.,
Appellants,

vs.

KENNETH PHILLIPS, et al.,
Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

MOTION TO AFFIRM OR DISMISS

Appellees in the above-entitled action move to dismiss or affirm on the grounds that the appeal does not present questions so substantial as to require further argument.